

PATENT COOPERATION TREA

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference S-30287/A	FOR FURTHER See Notification (Form PCT/ISA/	of Transmittal of International Search Report 220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.			
nternational application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)			
PCT/EP 98/07792	01/12/1998	03/12/1997			
pplicant					
OVARTIS AG et al.					
This International Search Report ha according to Article 18. A copy is be	s been prepared by this International Searching Auing transmitted to the International Bureau.	thority and is transmitted to the applicant			
This International Search Report col	nsists of a total of sheets. ed by a copy of each prior art document cited in thi	s report.			
Basis of the report With regard to the language	, the international search was carried out on the ba	asis of the international application in the			
the international sea	d, unless otherwise indicated under this item. urch was carried out on the basis of a translation of	the international application furnished to this			
was carried out on the basis	de and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the is of the sequence listing:	international application, the international search			
Contained in the international application in written form. If iled together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
the statement that the	ne subsequently furnished written sequence listing tion as filed has been furnished.	does not go beyond the disclosure in the			
the statement that the furnished	ne information recorded in computer readable form	is identical to the written sequence listing has been			
2. Certain claims we	e found unsearchable (See Box I).				
3. Unity of invention	is lacking (see Box II).				
4. With regard to the title,					
X the text is approved	as submitted by the applicant.				
the text has been e	stablished by this Authority to read as follows:				
5. With regard to the abstract,					
the text is approved	as submitted by the applicant. stablished, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Autho om the date of mailing of this international search re	rity as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, aport, submit comments to this Authority.			
6. The figure of the drawings to b	e published with the abstract is Figure No.				
as suggested by the	applicant.	None of the figures.			
because the applica	ant failed to suggest a figure.				
	better characterizes the invention.				

09/554,941 Exhibit A

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/EP 98/07792

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 6 C12N15/82 C12N15/52 C12N5/10

G01N33/50

C12N15/53 A01H5/00

C12N9/00

C12N9/02

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 C12N

14 (1 to 1)

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

Category °	INTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
. X	WO 96 10083 A (CIBA GEIGY AG) 4 April 1996 page 3,6; example 22	1,2,7, 13,15-17
X	EP 0 497 366 A (DONEGANI GUIDO IST) 5 August 1992 pages 5,6-15,16; page 18, line 43-50; claims	1,2,8, 13,15-17
Υ	WO 96 16173 A (UNIV LEEDS; ATKINSON HOWARD JOHN (GB); MCPHERSON MICHAEL JOHN (GB)) 30 May 1996 pages 1,3,4,5,; page 6, line 16-20; page 11,22-30; claims 27-38; Table 3 -/	1-4,7, 12-17

X Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in annex.
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
25 March 1999	13/04/1999
Name and mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Holtorf, S

1

Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to daim No.
WO 94 13810 A (UNIV MELBOURNE ;ANDERSON MARILYN ANNE (AU); ATKINSON ANGELA HILARY)	1-3,7, 13-17
see page 4	1-4,6,7, 12-17
WO 97 20057 A (NICKERSON BIOCEM LTD; ATKINSON HOWARD JOHN (GB); LILLEY CATHERINE) 5 June 1997 page 7,8,11; example 3,4,5; claims	6
WO 92 15690 A (NICKERSON BIOCEM LTD) 17 September 1992 pages 6,7,9,10; examples 1,6	1-17
LILLEY,C.J., ET AL.: "characterization of intestinally active proteinases of cyst nematodes" PARASITOLOGY, vol. 113, no. Pt4, October 1996, pages 415-425, XP002097967 abstract; page 416,417,420,423, left column	1-17
URWIN P E ET AL: "RESISTANCE TO BOTH CYST AND ROOT-KNOT NEMATODES CONFERRED BY TRANSGENIC ARABIDOPSIS EXPRESSING A MODIFIED PLANT CYSTATIN" PLANT JOURNAL, vol. 12, no. 2, 1997, pages 455-461, XP000198746 page 459, right column	1–17
URWIN, P.E., ET AL.: "enhanced transgenic plant resistance to nematodes by dual proteinase inhibitor constructs" PLANTA, vol. 204, April 1998, pages 472-479, XP002097968 see the whole document	1-10,12, 13,15-17
	WO 94 13810 A (UNIV MELBOURNE ;ANDERSON MARILYN ANNE (AU); ATKINSON ANGELA HILARY) 23 June 1994 see page 4 WO 97 20057 A (NICKERSON BIOCEM LTD ;ATKINSON HOWARD JOHN (GB); LILLEY CATHERINE) 5 June 1997 page 7,8,11; example 3,4,5; claims WO 92 15690 A (NICKERSON BIOCEM LTD) 17 September 1992 pages 6,7,9,10; examples 1,6 LILLEY,C.J., ET AL.: "characterization of intestinally active proteinases of cyst nematodes" PARASITOLOGY, vol. 113, no. Pt4, October 1996, pages 415-425, XP002097967 abstract; page 416,417,420,423, left column URWIN P E ET AL: "RESISTANCE TO BOTH CYST AND ROOT-KNOT NEMATODES CONFERRED BY TRANSGENIC ARABIDOPSIS EXPRESSING A MODIFIED PLANT CYSTATIN" PLANT JOURNAL, vol. 12, no. 2, 1997, pages 455-461, XP000198746 page 459, right column URWIN, P.E., ET AL.: "enhanced transgenic plant resistance to nematodes by dual proteinase inhibitor constructs" PLANTA, vol. 204, April 1998, pages 472-479, XP002097968

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No CT/EP 98/07792

Patent document cited in search repor	t .	Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9610083.	A	04-04-1996	US	5849870 A	15-12-1998
			AU	692934 B	18-06-1998
•		•	AU	3743395 A	19-04-1996
			BG	101384 A	31-10-1997
			BR	9509099 A	30-09-1997
	•		CA	2199049 A	04-04-1996
			CN	1160420 A	24-09-1997
		,		0792363 A	03-09-1997
			EP		
			HU	77449 A	28-04-1998
			JP	10506532 T	30-06-1998
			US	5872212 A	16-02-1999
			US	5770696 A	23-06-1998
			US	5840868 A	24-11-1998
			US	5866326 A	02-02-1999
			US	5877012 A	02-03-1998
			ZA	9508121 A	29-04-1996
	·	0E_00_1002	 AU	648140 B	 14-04-1994
EP 0497366	Α	05-08-1992		1065092 A	06-08-1992
			AU		
			CA	2060455 A	02-08-1992
			JP	5294995 A	09-11-1993
			US 	5519115 A	21-05-1996
WO 9616173	Α	30-05-1996	AU	3877095 A	17-06-1996
	* *		CA	2205356 A	30-05-1996
			EP	0793722 A	10-09-1997
			JP	10510146 T	06-10-1998
WO 9413810		23-06-1994	AU	680855 B	14-08-1997
WU 941361U	Α	23-00-1994		5689194 A	04-07-1994
			AU	2151933 A	23-06-1994
			CA		
			EP	0674712 A	04-10-1995
			JP	8506482 T	16-07-1996
			NZ 	258824 A	24-11-1997
WO 9720057	Α	05-06-1997	AU	7702496 A	19-06-1997
	- •		CA	2238943 A	05-06-1997
			EP	0871752 A	21-10-1998
WO 9215690	A	17-09-1992	AT	160175 T	15-11-1997
MO 3512030	М	11 03-1336	AU	665530 B	11-01-199
			AU	1353192 A	06-10-199
					06-09-1992
			CA	2107234 A	
			DE	69223080 D	18-12-1997
		•	DE	69223080 T	25-06-1998
		•	EP	0502730 A	09-09-1992
			ES	2111041 T	01-03-1998
			GR	3026133 T	29-05-199
			US	5494813 A	27-02-1990

PATENT COOPERA RY EXAMINING AUTHORITY INTERNA 16 To: Becker, Konrad **NOVARTIS AG** Corporate Intellectual Property Patent & Trademan Department Dept. WRITTEN OPINION CH-4002 Basel (PCT Rule 66) SUISSE 1.8. Okt. 1999 Date of mailing 12.10.99 (day/month/year) Kopien: within 3 month(s) REPLY DUE Applicant's or agent's file reference from the above date of mailing S-30287/A Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 03/12/1997 01/12/1998 PCT/EP98/07792 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C12N15/82 Applicant NOVARTIS AG et al. This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion ☐ Priority ☐ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability III □ Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement V١ Certain document cited ☐ Certain defects in the international application VII ☑ Certain observations on the international application VIII The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, When? request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. How? For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. Also: For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 03/04/2000. Authorized officer / Examiner Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

09/554,941 Exhibit B

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Merlos-Lange, A.M.

Formalities officer (incl. extension of time limits)

Telephone No. +49 89 2399 8061



WRITTEN OPINION

l.	Basis	of the	opinion
----	--------------	--------	---------

1.	This opinion has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office
••	in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed".):
	III 165ponso to air ii vii alien en e

	in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as originally med .j.					
	Description, pages:					
	1-32	as originally filed	d			
	Olaima No.					
	Claims, No.:					
	1-17	as originally filed	d .			
2.	The amendments have	e resulted in the c	cancellation of:			
	☐ the description,	pages:				
	☐ the claims,	Nos.:				
	☐ the drawings,	sheets:				
3.	This opinion has been considered to go beyo	established as if and the disclosure	f (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been e as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):			
4.	Additional observation	ns, if necessary:				
۷.	Reasoned statement applicability; citation	t under Rule 66.2 ns and explanati	2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ions supporting such statement			
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claims	see sep. sheet			
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	see sep. sheet			
	Industrial applicability	(IA) Claims				
2	. Citations and explana	ations				

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

1.1 With respect to document WO 96/10083, claims 1, 2, 7, 13 and 15-17 appear not to conform with the requirements of Art. 33(2), (3) PCT. Said document describes the recombinant production of fusion proteins optionally linked by a linker region for the purpose of protecting plants against insect pests (see pages 3 and 4, 6 and 7, Example 22 and part of the claims).

Alternatively, with respect to documents EP-0 497 366 and WO 94/13810, it would appear that claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8 and 12-17 do not refer to novel and inventive matter.

WO 94/13810 discloses the construction of proteinase inhibitor fusion proteins linked via a protease sensitive linker so that upon expression in a plant transformed with said construct, the plant proteinases cleave the fusion molecule. The fusion protein should increase, enhance or facilitate in plants, the resistance to insects or other pathogens.

Document EP-A-0 497 366 describes the construction of so-called "bridged" oligopeptides to protect plants against microbial pathogens (see page 5, last paragraph, page 7, 16-21).

At present, the above cited claims are too generally drafted and lack any particular features which would impart novelty and/or inventiveness to the subject-matter claimed.

- 1.2 It is further noted that document WO 96/16173 refers to the development of modified and thus more effective proteinase inhibitors such as Oc-IdeltaD86 to make plants nematode-resistant. The use of such proteinase inhibitors in the method as claimed in claims 1-4, 7 and 12-17 therefore appears obvious and thus not inventive (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- Having regard to claim 5, it is unclear whether the used proteinase inhibitor CpTI 2). is commonly known and made available to the public (Art. 5 and 6 PCT).

The expression "preferentially" used in claim 6 raises unclarity whether the promoter is root-specific or not.



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

S-30287//	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No.			International filing date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/EP98/07792			01/12/1998		03/12/1997
International C12N15/8		assification (IPC) or nat	tional classification and IPC		
Applicant NOVART	IS AG et	al.			
			nation report has been prepared coording to Article 36.	by this Inte	ernational Preliminary Examining Authority
2. This R	EPORT (consists of a total of	5 sheets, including this cover sl	heet.	
be (s	en amen ee Rule 7	ded and are the bas	is for this report and/or sheets on the Administrative Instruction of the Administrative Instruction in the Administrative Instruction Ins	ontaining re	on, claims and/or drawings which have ectifications made before this Authority ne PCT).
3. This re	eport con	tains indications rela	ting to the following items:		
1	⊠ Bas	sis of the report			
11	II 🗆 Priority				
10	III 🔲 Non-establishment of o		ppinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
IV	IV 🔲 Lack of unity of invention				
V	⊠ Rea	asoned statement ur itions and explanatio	nder Article 35(2) with regard to ons suporting such statement	novelty, inve	entive step or industrial applicability;
· VI		rtain documents cite			
VII			ternational application	•	·
VIII	⊠ Ce	rtain observations or	n the international application		

Exhibit C

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority: **European Patent Office**

Date of submission of the demand

10/06/1999

D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

A. M. Merlos

Authorized officer

Telephone No. +49 89 2399 8559

Date of completion of this report

27. 03.00



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP98/07792

۱.	. This report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):					
	Des	cription, pages:				
	1-32	2	as orig	jinally f	iled	
	Clai	ms, No.:				
	1-17	•	as oriç	ginally f	iled	
2.	The	amendments have	e resulte	ed in th	e cancell	lation of:
		the description,	pag	jes:		
		the claims,	Nos	s.:		
		the drawings,	she	ets:		
3.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):					
4.	Add	litional observation	s, if ned	cessary	/ :	
٧.	Rea app	soned statement licability; citation	under s and	Article explan	∋ 35(2) wi ations sເ	ith regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial upporting such statement
1.	Stat	tement	٠.			
	Nov	velty (N)		Yes: No:		4, 5, 6, 9-11 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 12-17
	Inve	entive step (IS)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	9-11 1-8, 12-17
	Ind	ustrial applicability		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-17

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP98/07792

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VI. Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

1.1 With respect to document WO 96/10083, claims 1, 2, 7, 13 and 15-17 appear not to conform with the requirements of Art. 33(2), (3) PCT. Said document describes the recombinant production of fusion proteins optionally linked by a linker region for the purpose of protecting plants against insect pests (see pages 3 and 4, 6 and 7, in particular Example 22 and part of the claims).

Alternatively, with respect to documents EP-0 497 366 and WO 94/13810, it would appear that claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8 and 12-17 do not refer to novel and/or inventive subject-matter.

WO 94/13810 discloses the construction of proteinase inhibitor fusion proteins linked via a protease sensitive linker so that upon expression in a plant transformed with said construct, the plant proteinases cleave the fusion molecule. The fusion protein should increase, enhance or facilitate in plants, the resistance to insects or other pathogens (see page 8, line 15 to page 12, line 31, claims) Document EP-A-0 497 366 describes the construction of so-called "bridged" oligopeptides to protect plants against microbial pathogens (see page 5, last paragraph, pages 7 and 16-21).

At present, claims 1-3, 6-8, and 12-17 are too generally drafted and lack any particular features which would render the matter claimed clearly novel and/or inventive with respect to the prior art.

- 1.2 It is further noted that document WO 96/16173 refers to the development of modified and/or hybrid proteinase inhibitors which are more effective in making plants nematode-resistant. The use of such hybrid proteinase inhibitors in the method as claimed in claims 1-4, 7, 12 and 17, the fusion proteins and transgenic plants expressing them and the corresponding DNA molecules encoding these hybrids (claims 13-16) therefore appear obvious. Said claims are therefore regarded to lack an inventive aspect according to (Art. 33(3) PCT). This is also true for claim 5 wherein the method particularly refers to CpTI, insofar as the cowpea trypsin inhibitor (CpTI) was already known to have anti-nematode activity (see WO92/15690).
- 2). The expression "preferentially" used in claim 6 raises unclarity whether the claim is limited to a root-specific promoter or not.
- 3). In case of an unvalid priority of 03.12.1997, intermediate document "Urwin et al., Planta, vol. 204, April 1998, pages 472-479" may become relevant when the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP98/07792 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

application enters the european phase.